MOTION FOR ORDER DISMISSING CERTAIN CAUSES OF ACTION IN COMPLAINT

- 1. The Fourth through Eighth Causes of Action of the Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a claim for relief pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6); and
- 2. The Fourth through Eighth Causes of Action of the Complaint should be dismissed for failure to sufficiently support its causes of action under 11 U.S.C. §§ 727 (a)(2), (3), (4) and (5).

The Motion is based on this Motion and Memorandum of Points and Authorities filed herewith, the concurrently filed Notice, all papers, pleadings, files and records in this case and such evidence as the Court might receive at the time of the hearing.

DATED: August 14, 2023 KOGAN LAW FIRM, APC Michael S. Kogan

By: /s/Michael S. Kogan

Michael S. Kogan Attorneys for Leslie Klein 1

3

10

11

12

13

**14** 

15

**16** 

17

18

I.

Defendant, Defendant, Leslie Klein ("Klein" or "Defendant"), will and does hereby move

2 INTRODUCTION

this Court for an Order Dismissing Certain Causes of Action in the Complaint for

Nondischargeability of Debt Pursuant to 11 USC § 523(a)(2)(a), 11 USC § 523(a)(4), & 11 USC §

523(a)(6); & for Denial of Discharge Pursuant to 11 USC § 727(a)(2)(a); 11 USC § 727(a)(2)(b);

11 USC § 727(a)(3); 11 USC § 727(a)(4); 11 USC § 727(a)(5) ("Complaint") against the

Defendant Leslie Klein filed by David Berger ("Plaintiff" or "Berger") in the bankruptcy estate

of Leslie Klein (the "Debtor") on the following grounds:

- 1. The Fourth through Eighth Causes of Action of the Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a claim for relief pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6); and
- 2. The Fourth through Eighth Causes of Action of the Complaint should be dismissed for failure to sufficiently support its causes of action under 11 U.S.C. §§ 727 (a)(2), (3), (4) and (5).

This adversary proceeding arises out of transactions between Berger and defendant, Klein.

Klein therefore respectfully requests the Court to grant its motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, to strike and for a more definite statement.

**19** 

20

21

22

23

24

25

**26** 

**27** 

28

II.

### PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On June 9, 2023, Plaintiff David Berger filed the Complaint for Nondischargeability of Debt Pursuant to 11 USC § 523(a)(2)(a), 11 USC § 523(a)(4), & 11 USC § 523(a)(6); & for Denial of Discharge Pursuant to 11 USC § 727(a)(2)(a); 11 USC § 727(a)(2)(b); 11 USC § 727(a)(3); 11 USC § 727(a)(4); 11 USC § 727(a)(5) ("Complaint") against the Defendant Leslie Klein [Doc #1].

On June 9, 2023, the Court's Summons & Notice of Status Conference ("Summons") [Doc #3] set June 12, 2023 as the Defendant's deadline to respond to the Complaint, and a status conference on the matter for August 9, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. (the "Status Conference").

Complaint, and a status conference on the matter for September 6, 2023 at 9:00 a.m. (the "Status Conference").

On July 7, 2023, Klein's then counsel Eric Olson ("Olson"), who had just been recently on May 23, 2023, substituted in as counsel for Klein (Doc #150) requested an extension to respond to the Complaint, and represented that the Defendant needed time to retain experienced bankruptcy counsel for the adversary proceeding.

On July 7, 2023, the Court's issued **another** Summons & Notice of Status Conference

("Summons") [Doc #10], and set August 7, 2023 as the Defendant's deadline to respond to the

The Parties have agreed to continue the time to respond to the Complaint to August 22, 2023.

On July 24, 2023 the Plaintiff and Defendant filed the Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to Complaint (the "**Stipulation**") (Doc # 14) and the Court entered its Order approving the Stipulation on July 24, 2023 (Doc # 16). The Stipulation provided that the time to respond to the Complaint is August 22, 2023.

### III.

# THE STANDARD FOR A MOTION TO DISMISS

A motion pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7012, and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), is made on the basis that the facts averred fail to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. On a motion to dismiss, it is clear that the Court does not have to accept every averment in the complaint as true in assessing its sufficiency. 5A Charles A. Wright & Arthur R. Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure § 1357, at 311-18 (2d ed. 1990). The averments of a complaint must be "well-pleaded" and thus the court need not accept "sweeping and unwarranted averments of fact." Haynesworth v. Miller, 820 F.2d 1245, 1254 (D.C. Cir. 1987). Legal conclusions, deductions or opinions couched as factual averments in a complaint are not given a presumption of truthfulness. 2A James Wm. Moore et al., Moore's Federal Practice ¶ 12.07[2.-5], at 12-63 to 12-64 (2d ed. 1991). A complaint is subject to dismissal if it fails to aver a required element which is necessary to obtain the relief sought. Moore, supra, at 12-68; see, e.g., Cannon v. University of Chicago, 648 F.2d 1104, 1109-10 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 454 U.S. 1128, 102 S.Ct. 981, 71

Cas	2:23-ap-01169-SK	Doc 18	Filed 08/1	4/23	Entered 08/14/23 20:39:59	Desc
		Main Document				

L.Ed.2d 117 (1981), cert. denied, 460 U.S. 1013, 103 S.Ct. 1254, 75 L.Ed.2d 482 (1983). A
motion under Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(b)(6) should also be granted if a bar to relief is apparent from the
face of the complaint. More, supra, at 12-68 to 12-69.

Berger must plead all elements of the particular avoidance section that it will rely on, rather than resorting to "menu pleading" (i.e., merely quoting the statute without pleading facts). Also, merely quoting avoidance statutes without specific factual averments is inappropriate and may warrant the imposition of sanctions. See In re Englander, 79 B.R. 897 (Bankr. C.D. Cal. 1987), rev'd on other grounds, 92 B.R. 425 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. BAP 1988).

# THERE ARE NO FACTS THAT WOULD SUPPORT A CAUSE OF ACTION UNDER §727 (FOURTH THROUGH EIGHTH CAUSES OF ACTION)

IV.

The Fourth Cause of Action (11 U.S.C § 727(a)(2)(A), Fifth Cause of Action (11 U.S.C § 727(a)(2)(B), Sixth Cause of Action (11 U.S.C § 727(a)(3), Seventh Cause of Action (11 U.S.C § 727(a)(4) and Eighth Cause of Action (11 U.S.C § 727(a)(5) (collectively, the "**727 Causes of Action**") all must be dismissed by the Court because these causes of action are only applicable to chapter 7 individual debtors. The Debtor herein and the bankruptcy case is a chapter 11 bankruptcy case.

# 11 U.S.C § 103 provides:

- (a) Except as provided in section 1161 of this title, chapters 1, 3, and 5 of this title apply in a case under chapter 7, 11, 12, or 13 of this title, and this chapter, sections 307, 362(o), 555 through 557, and 559 through 562 apply in a case under chapter 15.
  - (b) Subchapters I and II of chapter 7 of this title apply only in a case under such chapter.

The 727 Causes of Action are part of Subchapter II of chapter 7, therefore according to Section 103(b) they can **only** apply to a case under chapter 7. See also In re Duncan, 2012 Bankr.

<sup>1</sup> Chapter 11 has its own timing and Bankruptcy Code section that applies to the debtor's discharge of debts. See Section 1141. In a chapter 11 case, the complaint shall be filed no later than the first date set for hearing on confirmation. FRBP 4004(a).

Casi	Main Document Page 6 of 8							
4	1   Laria 524 2012 WH 5462017 (Danka Ar. 2012) Thansford							
1	Lexis 524, 2012 WL 5462917 (Bankr. Az. 2012). Therefore, each of the 727 Causes of Action							
2		should be dismissed by the Court.						
3								
4	4 CONCLUSION	CONCLUSION						
5	5 For the foregoing reasons, Klein respectfully reques	For the foregoing reasons, Klein respectfully request that the Court grant the Motion. The						
6	deficiencies evident from the face of the Complaint warrant a dismissal of the 727 Causes of							
7	7 Action with prejudice.	Action with prejudice.						
8	8 DATED: August 14, 2023 KOGAN LAW F	TRM APC						
9	9 Michael S. I							
10	10							
11	By: /s/Michael S Michael S. 1	. Kogan						
12		or Leslie Klein						
13	13							
14	14							
15	15							
16	16							
17	17							
18	18							
19	19							
20	20							
21	21							
22	22							
23	23							
24	24							
25	25							
26								
27								
28								
	6							
	MOTION FOR ORDER DISMISSING CERTAIN CAUS	MOTION FOR ORDER DISMISSING CERTAIN CAUSES OF ACTION IN COMPLAINT						